

because I think that is already part of the current statute. The major change, the major change, and I think you have a handout of the little memo being distributed to you, is in #6, the last one on that memo, and that is the one that I indicated earlier when we discussed this bill last week that I felt was very essential that we get in the statutes or in this particular piece of legislation. This would set up a procedure so that any changes brought about by annexation during the period of time that this bill was in effect, from the effective date of this act until the August fifteenth date, that whatever the law was after August 15, 1984, would be applicable back to the boundary in this annexed area. Now under the current way that the bill is drafted right now that boundary I believe is frozen for good and I think it would take a specific piece of legislation to change that so what we are saying here, we are putting the bug on us if you will, to change the law between sometime now and next year and that whatever the mechanism that we come up with, and I indicated this on General File that I would hope the mechanism would be some means to get the state in a neutral position so that we did not have a club in one hand or the other but to put it in a neutral position so that the local people could in good faith negotiate their differences and resolve their own conflict as to where the boundaries should be. I don't know exactly what that mechanism is going to be but that is the intention that I am bringing to you and the intention of the Education Committee. But with that in mind then I think it is essential that we get this in this bill that would allow that mechanism, whatever it is that we come up with, to be applied to these boundary changes that may occur as a result of annexation during this freeze period. And I am sure that perhaps Senator Wagner, Goodrich or the other members that signed on this with me would like to make a few comments too but that, Mr. President, is the intent of the amendment and I would urge the body's adoption of it.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator DeCamp.

SENATOR DeCAMP: Mr. President, I respectfully oppose the amendment, suggest we advance the bill in its original form and would say further that to adopt the amendment would in my opinion be a total breach of the understandings and agreements that were publicly reached on this floor and that I think most people understood full well. I have the transcript before me, I am having copies made, try to get them to you, but I want to read some pertinent sections and you make your own conclusions but I do ask you to make your conclusions based upon what was agreed to and based upon how this amendment would function because if the amendment